Personal Details			
Name:			
Address:			
Post Code:			
Telephone No:	Date of Birth:		
Email Address:			
Occupation: (if retired,	give former occupation)		
Identification of the	e Alleged Route		
Description of the Rou	ite (Mark route on map)		
Please see the	e original submission made in 2021 as attached.		
Start Grid Reference:	NZ35965 67478		
Start Grid Reference.	N233903 07476		
End Grid Reference:	NZ35933 67745		
Name of Route (if name	ned): King Charles III England Coast Path - Section SBA-1-S031		
Believed Status of the (Footpath, Bridleway, R	Route Existing Footpath (National Trail & Recreational Path - OS Map 316) estricted Byway or Byway Open to All Traffic)		
Has the route always run on the same route? (If not provide details) Vhilst the path was in existence including its pavement, lamp standards and lighting stanchions, yes. Various sections were blocked progressively. As I remember it the section in front of the call centr vas closed first followed by the garden then the edge of the dock.			

Your Use of the Alleged Route			
	s I remember it, shortly after moving to South Shields in 006 or 2007.		
When did you first use the route? Until to 2017	the path was blocked, firstly in front of the "call centre" in around then being excluded from the edge of the dock during the		
-	emic in 2020. I cannot recall exact dates		
No, I used the path regularly between al	hat you did not use the route (If yes, when & why?) bout 2006 and 2017; maybe once a month perhaps twice, wer aware of the route being blocked between these dates.		
How did you use the route? (e.g. foot, horse On foot.	e, cycle, carriage, motor vehicle)		
How often did you use the route?			
	os twice in some months. 12 - 15 times a year (estimated)		
North end. The pavement has been ripportunity in Quays development and is not accessible insulates the previously provided paved of the development. A steel barred gate	hy did you stop? een blocked by a wooden fence/garden shed at the ed up to provide gardens for some residents of the South leThe gated South Quays development and its car park access around the garden and dock area at the south end e prevents the former free and signposted access to the dry.dock		
.norus side.or.use.ex.brigitam.and.cowan.	CH.y. CLOCK		
The Nature of Your Use			
What was the purpose of your journeys?			
Pure recreation for the views of the river. I would most often walk the path from Comical Corner to the South Shields ferry landing, take the ferry to North Shields and return by the same route.			
Did you see other people using the route?	Yes, many people walked the route at the same time,		
Did you see other people using the route:	in both directions.		
Did other people see you using the route?	Yes, the friends and relatives I took to see the views.		

Did you encounter or have to remove any obstructions when using the route? (e.g. fences, locked gates etc.) (If yes give details) Not prior to the blocking by the previously mentioned wooden fence/gate; gated car park and gate across the dock.
Did you ever ask for permission to use the route? (If yes give details) No. No. Why would I ask? It was either obviously a footpath or obviously AND
Were you ever given permission to use the route? (If yes give designs posted as a footpath
Have you ever owned/occupied land crossed by the route?
Have you ever worked for the owner/occupier of the land?
If yes, were you ever given any instructions regarding the route? (If yes give details)
Additional Information
Were there any stiles, gates or bridges along the route? (If yes, show locations on map)
No.
Were there any signs along the route? (If yes, give details & show locations on map)
England Coastal Path Signs, which have now been changed without any permission.
Were you ever stopped, prevented, or turned back from using the route? (If yes, give details)
Not by anyone only by the physical barriers.
Not by anyone only by the physical barriers.
Any other information you consider relevant I wrote to Natural England in order to try to understand the legalities and have attached my email correspondence with them. I understand the 20 year rule and that the land or much of it seems to be privately owned. The designation as a national footpath does not seem to confer any rights of access, however I think the circumstances of the various sales of the land and the STC adoption or intention to adopt the path would merit investigation. Why invest in all of the infrastructure that was available for the public's enjoyment without any "intent" to keep it. The change of use of the "call centre" from commercial to residential should also be scrutinised.
I hereby certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the facts that I have stated are true
Signature: Date: 11 Nov 2024
Signature of person taking statement: (If applicable)
Print Name:
Note:
 You may be interviewed by the investigating Authority to confirm the content of this form You may also be asked to attend a public inquiry to give evidence
3. The information contained within this form will be in the public domain and may be
disclosed to other parties with an interest in this case. By completing this form, you are confirming you consent for such disclosure. This includes the personal details given.
4. If your recollections of dates and other details are approximate or to the best of your

recollection please say so.

South Tyneside Council Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 **Definitive Map Modification Order Application** S21 - Long Row NZ 35 67 Scale 1:1250 ∇ G, X **KEY** Path to be added: Crown Copyright reserved. Licence No. 100019570 Page 1 Appendix 1