

| Our Ref | Respondent | Representative | Chapter | Policy | Paragraph/ Criterion | Support/ Object | Submitted Comments |
|---------|------------------------|----------------|--|--------|-------------------------|--------------------|---|
| ST2014 | David Williams | | 03 Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives | | 3.01 | Objection | 1. Primarily my comments concern my strong objection to the use of greenbelt land for new housing. The draft plan section 3.1 states that "...natural green spaces... will continue to be protected". That is what I want the council to do. The greenbelt contributes to the enjoyment of our environment by residents and visitors. It was intended, I understand, as a permanent feature which should be preserved so that what we have now, that is valuable, will be sustained for future generations. Regarding the greenbelt, sustainable development means keeping it, not slicing off a bit at every review. |
| ST2408 | Dr Jonathan Richardson | Resident | 03 Spatial Vision and Spatial Objectives | 3.03 | iv | Support | <p>4.0 Pace of development and the priority for use of Brownfield sites – Full support for Policy S5; Chapter 3.3, box iv; Policy S1 c); Chapter 4 paragraph 4.30-4.36.</p> <p>4.1 The Local Plan remains silent on whether the pace of development in the Forum Area is to be controlled. As previously mentioned, there are serious concerns around the impact on infrastructure, but in addition, development on such a scale as that proposed cannot be carried out within a relatively small area without harmful disruption to those who live and work there. The pace of new development within the Forum Area should be subject to appropriate timescales, as well as provision of appropriate new infrastructure, so that development is sensitive to its impact on the village life and the community. This should be an explicit requirement of the Local Plan.</p> <p>4.2 The draft Local Plan identifies the potential for the development of a brownfield site within the Forum Area at Cleadon Lane Industrial Estate. I believe that this area of brownfield land should be used in preference to Green Belt land and that its use should be prioritised (along with other brownfield sites throughout the Borough) in preference to existing Green Belt sites. This should be an explicit requirement of the Local Plan.</p> |
| ST2409 | David Tutill | Resident | 03 Spatial Vision and Spatial Objectives | 3.03 | box iv | Support | <p>4.0 Pace of development and the priority for use of Brownfield sites – Full support for Policy S5; Chapter 3.3, box iv; Policy S1 c); Chapter 4 paragraph 4.30-4.36.</p> <p>4.1 The Local Plan remains silent on whether the pace of</p> |

| | | | | | | | |
|--------|-------------|--------------|--|--|-------------|--|---|
| | | | | | | <p>development in the Forum Area is to be controlled. As previously mentioned, there are serious concerns around the impact on infrastructure, but in addition, development on such a scale as that proposed cannot be carried out within a relatively small area without harmful disruption to those who live and work there. The pace of new development within the Forum Area should be subject to appropriate timescales, as well as provision of appropriate new infrastructure, so that development is sensitive to its impact on the village life and the community. This should be an explicit requirement of the Local Plan.</p> <p>4.2 The draft Local Plan identifies the potential for the development of a brownfield site within the Forum Area at Cleadon Lane Industrial Estate. I believe that this area of brownfield land should be used in preference to Green Belt land and that its use should be prioritised (along with other brownfield sites throughout the Borough) in preference to existing Green Belt sites. This should be an explicit requirement of the Local Plan.</p> | |
| ST0165 | Phil Ramsey | | 03 Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives | | Para 3.3 ii | Support | <p>9. South Shields town centre - I'm in full agreement that it's becoming neglected and could be improved. However, it has to be a pleasant place to visit, maybe our council could look at beautiful market towns and create something similar? Bring back the trams! Mill Dam is wonderful, historic building and pubs full of character, tourists always like to go there. The Council can get some things right when they really think about doing it. Thanks for reading this far.</p> |
| ST0310 | Helen Marks | Port of Tyne | 03 Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives | | | Support | <p>These representations are submitted on behalf of the Port of Tyne (the 'Port'), to South Tyneside Council (the 'Council') in response to the consultation on the South Tyneside Local Plan – Pre-Publication Draft Plan (STLPPPD).</p> <p>The Port owns large areas of land along the north and south banks of the River Tyne, and as such is a key stakeholder and has a warranted interest in the outcome of the Local Plan and the future of South Tyneside. The Port of Tyne is one of the UK's major deep seaports, and consequently is a vital trading gateway between six continents. In recent times, the Port have diversified and currently operate five core business areas, which includes; car exports, conventional and bulk cargo, cruise/ferry, estates and logistics.</p> |

| | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | <p>The Port is committed to engaging in consultation at every stage of the Local Plan’s preparation, and principally supports the Councils vision, however, has the following comments to make.</p> <p>The Soundness of the Plan</p> <p>Paragraph 35 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) states that Local plans and spatial development strategies are examined to assess whether they have been prepared in accordance with legal and procedural requirements, and whether they are sound. Plans are ‘sound’ if they are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Positively prepared – providing a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area’s objectively assessed needs; and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development; b) Justified – an appropriate strategy, considering the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence; c) Effective – deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and d) Consistent with national policy – enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Framework <p>Our client considers the STLPPPD to be sound, on the basis that the Council has taken a generally positive approach to economic development and addressing key employment issues that relate to the Port of Tyne’s activities and requirements. However, the Port does believe that the policies could further advocate positive and aspirational growth in order to support the longevity and prosperity of South Tyneside, doing so would be consistent with the overarching objectives of the NPPF.</p> <p>Spatial Vision / Chapter 3</p> <p>Paragraph 3.1 sets out a spatial vision, specifically drawing upon the Councils existing 20-year vision statement “South Tyneside will be an outstanding place to live, invest and bring up families” and ‘The intensification of economic activities along the River Tyne and our wider portfolio of employment sites</p> <p>....’</p> |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|

| | | | | | | | |
|--------|--------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|
| | | | | | | <p>The Port is in general support for the vision and identified objectives for the Borough but request a specific reference to be made with regards to the Port of Tyne and support for its activities within the Vision given its economic contribution. It is therefore suggested that the text is revised to read;</p> <p>‘The intensification of economic activities along the River Tyne, including the interests of the Port of Tyne, and our wider portfolio of employment sites’</p> <p>Summary</p> <p>The Port supports the overall vision of the STLPPPD with regards its support for the Port of Tyne and the River Tyne corridor for economic development. The Port would, however welcome broader acknowledgement for its current activities within the policies and supporting text as well as clearer referencing within the policies as to the land available for future development and its appropriate use. The Port is also concerned about the impact of the future development of Holborn Middle Dock and Windmill Hill on its land interests and requests that this is addressed within the draft policy.</p> <p>We hope that the Council take into account the Port of Tyne’s representations as set out above when reviewing the content of the STLPPPD, and recognise the issues and opportunities identified in this representation. We request an invitation to participate in any further consultations, including at examination and are more than happy to meet with representatives in the interim to discuss the Port’s concerns if this would be considered beneficial.</p> | |
| ST0311 | Lee Fulcher | PH & D Longmore | 03 Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives | | | Support | <p>Vision and Objectives of the Plan</p> <p>6.3 We generally support the overall vision of the STLP and specifically support the objective which aims to promote positive and healthy choices by improving the physical and mental health and wellbeing of the Borough’s communities, whilst recognising the benefits of good quality housing provision in achieving wider health and wellbeing benefits.</p> |
| ST0316 | Stephen Litherland | Avant Homes (North East) | 03 Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives | | | Support | <p>Chapter 3: A Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives</p> <p>Avant Homes supports the spatial vision and strategic objectives to: ‘increase the supply and choice of the type and tenure of new homes that are of a high quality and are energy efficient. New</p> |

| | | | | | | |
|--------|-------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| | | | | | | homes will meet the needs of existing residents and those wishing to move to the area, including those with a need for affordable housing that are located in a variety of suitable locations and where it's appropriate to do so, build at higher densities for example where they are close to areas better served by public transport'. Notwithstanding this the spatial vision relating to new homes could be strengthened by referencing the amount of new homes that the Council intend to deliver |
| ST0317 | Andrew Hird | Sunderland Association Football Club | 03 Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives | | | Support The following representations have been prepared on behalf of Sunderland Association Football Club (SAFC) to the South Tyneside Local plan Pre-Publication Draft (Regulation 18). Figure 1: SAFC Land ownership surrounding the Academy of light These representations are being made having regard to the documents contained within the supporting evidence library and having assessed the compliance of the Draft Local Plan against paragraph 35 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). Paragraph 35 states that for a plan to be found "sound" it should be: - Positively prepared; - Justified; - Effective; and - Consistent with national policy Cundall are making the following representations to the Draft Plan, addressing a number of the proposed policies considered relevant to the interests of SAFC. Each relevant section of the draft plan will be considered in turn with all paragraph numbers referred to, relating to paragraphs of the plan unless otherwise stated. We support the overall spatial vision for South Tyneside Local Plan which clearly sets out the aspirations of South Tyneside over the plan period. The Local plan policies are underpinned by this overarching vision which is key to ensuring the delivery of a range of planning objectives. We support the strategic objectives which aim to deliver the vision for South Tyneside over the plan period, particularly where there is a focus upon providing "a physical environment which encourages physical activity and social interaction though high quality open spaces, green infrastructure networks, sports facilities (emphasis added) and safe communities." We strongly support this objective as the provision of sports |

| | | | | | | | |
|--------|---------------|----------------------------|--|-----|----|---------|---|
| | | | | | | | facilities are pivotal to the health of the local population, however, we feel the role of sporting facilities in contributing to wider spatial vision should be further emphasised as the planning system plays a vital role in providing opportunities for sport and physical activities. |
| ST0318 | Mark Ketley | Messrs Keith & Harry Clark | 03 Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives | | | Support | The clear commitment from the Council in the spatial vision and strategic objectives of the South Tyneside Local Plan Pre-Publication Draft that by 2035, South Tyneside will have a flourishing and growing economy that makes a vital contribution to the regional and national economy and will be a place where residents of all ages and abilities will have a choice of new homes, including affordable homes, as well as the infrastructure to support their daily needs is strongly supported. In particular, the objective of increasing the supply and choice of the type and tenure of new homes to meet the needs of existing residents and those wishing to move to the area is welcomed and is seen as being essential if one of the overarching objectives of the Local Plan to comprehensively address social, physical and economic regeneration in the deprived parts of the Borough is to be achieved. |
| ST0417 | Alan Clarke | | 03 Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives | | | Support | I am writing to set out my broad views on the South Tyneside Draft Local Plan both as a longstanding resident of East Boldon and previously Whitburn but also as an experienced practitioner in planning and regeneration. Overall, with the exception of the housing proposals, I am supportive of the plan which strikes the right balance between economic growth proposals and concern for the environment. This includes the Advanced Manufacturing Park and the related road infrastructure improvements. The continued focus on South Shields town centre building on the recent investment in the public realm is also welcome. |
| ST1994 | Jane Drummond | | 03 Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives | 3.3 | IV | Support | 4.0 Pace of development and the priority for use of Brownfield sites – Full support for Policy S5; Chapter 3.3, box iv; Policy S1 c); Chapter 4 paragraph 4.30-4.36. 4.1 The Local Plan remains silent on whether the pace of development in the Forum Area is to be controlled. As previously mentioned, there are serious concerns around the impact on infrastructure, but in addition, development on such a scale as that proposed cannot be carried out within a relatively small area without harmful disruption to those who live and work there. The |

| | | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------------|--|--|------|----|---------|---|
| | | | | | | | pace of new development within the Forum Area should be subject to appropriate timescales, as well as provision of appropriate new infrastructure, so that development is sensitive to its impact on the village life and the community. This should be an explicit requirement of the Local Plan. |
| ST2003 | Claire Ludbrook | | 03 Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives | 3.3 | IV | Support | <p>4.0 Pace of development and the priority for use of Brownfield sites – Full support for Policy S5; Chapter 3.3, box iv; Policy S1 c); Chapter 4 paragraph 4.30-4.36.</p> <p>4.1 The Local Plan remains silent on whether the pace of development in the Forum Area is to be controlled. As previously mentioned, there are serious concerns around the impact on infrastructure, but in addition, development on such a scale as that proposed cannot be carried out within a relatively small area without harmful disruption to those who live and work there. The pace of new development within the Forum Area should be subject to appropriate timescales, as well as provision of appropriate new infrastructure, so that development is sensitive to its impact on the village life and the community. This should be an explicit requirement of the Local Plan.</p> <p>4.2 The draft Local Plan identifies the potential for the development of a brownfield site within the Forum Area at Cleadon Lane Industrial Estate. I believe that this area of brownfield land should be used in preference to Green Belt land and that its use should be prioritised (along with other brownfield sites throughout the Borough) in preference to existing Green Belt sites. This should be an explicit requirement of the Local Plan.</p> |
| ST2013 | Gary Attewell | | 03 Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives | 3.30 | iv | Support | <p>4.1 The Local Plan remains silent on whether the pace of development in the Forum Area is to be controlled. As previously mentioned, there are serious concerns around the impact on infrastructure, but in addition, development on such a scale as that proposed cannot be carried out within a relatively small area without harmful disruption to those who live and work there. The pace of new development within the Forum Area should be subject to appropriate timescales, as well as provision of appropriate new infrastructure, so that development is sensitive to its impact on the village life and the community. This should be an explicit requirement of the Local Plan.</p> <p>4.2 The draft Local Plan identifies the potential for the development</p> |

| | | | | | | | |
|--------|----------------------|---------------|--|--|--|---------|---|
| | | | | | | | of a brownfield site within the Forum Area at Cleadon Lane Industrial Estate. I believe that this area of brownfield land should be used in preference to Green Belt land and that its use should be prioritised (along with other brownfield sites throughout the Borough) in preference to existing Green Belt sites. This should be an explicit requirement of the Local Plan. |
| ST2117 | Church Commissioners | Richard Swann | 03 Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives | | | comment | <p>3.1 We support the intention and aspiration of the Spatial Vision for South Tyneside, in particular the reference to providing a choice of new homes, including affordable homes. This is of particular importance in order to support the Council's economic aspirations, including providing a wider portfolio of employment sites which will bring new investment and jobs and jobs to the area.</p> <p>3.2 Economic growth and housing delivery go hand in hand and the acknowledgement. In order to continue to support economic growth, a proportionate level of housing must be met to meet the Council's needs, help stem out-migration and ensure the South Tyneside area's working age population continue does not decline, as is predicted in the Council's Population, Demographics and Housing Topic Paper (2016).</p> <p>3.3 We do recommend that the Spatial Vision is updated just to reflect that 'residents of all ages and abilities will have a choice of new homes, including affordable homes in are built in appropriate sustainable locations...' so as to reflect the overarching national policy aim of achieving sustainable development, whilst taking on to consideration the Framework's three pillars of sustainable development, namely economic objective, social objectives and environmental objectives.</p> <p>3.4 The link between a strong local economy and housing delivery is then carried through to the PPSTLP's objectives. We support the specific reference to meeting the needs for new homes, but again suggest the inclusion of a commitment to sustainable development to link to the Council's Spatial Strategy and a consistency with national guidance, as well as consideration of referring to the Government's objective of significantly boosting the supply of housing.</p> |
| ST2118 | Miller Homes | Mark Ketley | 03 Spatial Vision and | | | comment | 1.8 The most up-to-date version of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) published in February 2019, and the associated Planning Practice Guidance (PPG), form the basis of the current |

| | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|----------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | Strategic Objectives | | | <p>national planning policy regime and it is against these policy and guidance documents that the soundness of the South Tyneside Local Plan will be assessed with paragraph 35 of the NPPF in particular stating that Local Plans will be found sound if they are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Positively Prepared - providing a strategy which, as a minimum, seeks to meet the area's objectively assessed needs; and is informed by agreements with other authorities, so that unmet need from neighbouring areas is accommodated where it is practical to do so and is consistent with achieving sustainable development; b) Justified - an appropriate strategy, taking into account the reasonable alternatives, and based on proportionate evidence; c) Effective - deliverable over the plan period, and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic matters that have been dealt with rather than deferred, as evidenced by the statement of common ground; and d) Consistent with National Policy - enabling the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in this Framework. <p>1.9 These representations respond to and address specific elements of the Pre-Publication Draft Local Plan consultation in relation to Miller Homes land interest in addition to making recommendations on how the Plan should be amended in order to be found sound. We trust that the comments provide the Council with assistance when progressing the South Tyneside Local Plan and we look forward to ongoing engagement during the preparation process.</p> <p>2.2 The clear commitment from the Council in the spatial vision and strategic objectives of the South Tyneside Local Plan Pre-Publication Draft that by 2035, South Tyneside will have a flourishing and growing economy that makes a vital contribution to the regional and national economy and will be a place where residents of all ages and abilities will have a choice of new homes, including affordable homes, as well as the infrastructure to support their daily needs is strongly supported. In particular, the objective of increasing the supply and choice of the type and tenure of new homes to meet the needs of existing residents and those wishing to move to the area is welcomed and is seen as being essential if one of the overarching objectives of the Local Plan to comprehensively address social,</p> |
|--|--|--|----------------------|--|--|--|

| | | | | | | | |
|--------|-------------------|---|---|------------------------|-----|---------|--|
| | | | | | | | physical and economic regeneration in the deprived parts of the Borough is to be achieved. |
| ST2177 | Mark Ketley | Barratt David Wilson Homes & Taylor Wimpey | 03 Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives | | | comment | <p>2.0 Response to the Pre-Publication Draft Consultation</p> <p>2.1 The following comments on the South Tyneside Local Plan Pre-Publication Draft consultation document are submitted on behalf of Barratt David Wilson Homes (BDW) and Taylor Wimpey (TW) in the interests of ensuring that the Council produces a sound Local Plan.</p> <p>Spatial Vision & Strategic Objectives</p> <p>2.2 The clear commitment from the Council in the spatial vision and strategic objectives of the South Tyneside Local Plan Pre-Publication Draft that by 2035, South Tyneside will have a flourishing and growing economy that makes a vital contribution to the regional and national economy and will be a place where residents of all ages and abilities will have a choice of new homes, including affordable homes, as well as the infrastructure to support their daily needs is strongly supported. In particular, the objective of increasing the supply and choice of the type and tenure of new homes to meet the needs of existing residents and those wishing to move to the area is welcomed and is seen as being essential if one of the overarching objectives of the Local Plan to comprehensively address social, physical and economic regeneration in the deprived parts of the Borough is to be achieved.</p> |
| ST2285 | Gillan Gibson | | 03 Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives | | 3.1 | Support | CPRE supports this Vision |
| ST2285 | Gillan Gibson | | 03 Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives | | 3.3 | Support | CPRE supports these Objectives |
| ST2295 | Joanne Harding | | 03 Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives | Vision & Objectives | | Support | <p>SOUTH TYNESIDE LOCAL PLAN: PRE-PUBLICATION DRAFT (Reg 18)</p> <p>Thank you for consulting with the Home Builders Federation on the Pre-Publication Draft consultation of the South Tyneside Local Plan. The HBF is the principal representative body of the house-building industry in England and Wales. Our representations reflect the views of our membership, which includes multi-national PLC's, regional developers and small, local builders. In any one year, our members</p> |

| | | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------------------|----------|--|----------|----|---------|---|
| | | | | | | | <p>account for over 80% of all new “for sale” market housing built in England and Wales as well as a large proportion of newly built affordable housing.</p> <p>The HBF would like to submit the following comments upon selected policies within the consultation document. These responses are provided in order to assist South Tyneside in the preparation of the emerging local plan. The HBF is keen to ensure that the Council produces a sound local plan which provides for the housing needs of the area.</p> <p>Vision and Objectives</p> <p>The HBF support the objective which looks to increase the supply and choice of the type and tenure of new homes, and which goes on to state that new homes will meet the needs of existing residents and those wishing to move to the area.</p> |
| ST2320 | Dr Kirstin Richardson | Resident | 03 Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives | Para 3.3 | IV | Support | <p>4.0 Pace of development and the priority for use of Brownfield sites – Full support for Policy S5; Chapter 3.3, box iv; Policy S1 c); Chapter 4 paragraph 4.30-4.36.</p> <p>4.1 The Local Plan remains silent on whether the pace of development in the Forum Area is to be controlled. As previously mentioned, there are serious concerns around the impact on infrastructure, but in addition, development on such a scale as that proposed cannot be carried out within a relatively small area without harmful disruption to those who live and work there. The pace of new development within the Forum Area should be subject to appropriate timescales, as well as provision of appropriate new infrastructure, so that development is sensitive to its impact on the village life and the community. This should be an explicit requirement of the Local Plan.</p> <p>4.2 The draft Local Plan identifies the potential for the development of a brownfield site within the Forum Area at Cleadon Lane Industrial Estate. I believe that this area of brownfield land should be used in preference to Green Belt land and that its use should be prioritised (along with other brownfield sites throughout the Borough) in preference to existing Green Belt sites. This should be an explicit requirement of the Local Plan.</p> |
| ST2365 | Delia McNally | Resident | 03 Spatial Vision and | Para 3.3 | iv | Support | <p>4.0 Pace of development and the priority for use of Brownfield sites – Full support for Policy S5; Chapter 3.3, box iv; Policy S1 c); Chapter 4 paragraph 4.30-4.36.</p> |

| | | | | | | | |
|--------|---------------|----------|--|----------|--------|---------|--|
| | | | Strategic Objectives | | | | <p>4.1 The Local Plan remains silent on whether the pace of development in the Forum Area is to be controlled. As previously mentioned, there are serious concerns around the impact on infrastructure, but in addition, development on such a scale as that proposed cannot be carried out within a relatively small area without harmful disruption to those who live and work there. The pace of new development within the Forum Area should be subject to appropriate timescales, as well as provision of appropriate new infrastructure, so that development is sensitive to its impact on the village life and the community. This should be an explicit requirement of the Local Plan.</p> <p>4.2 The draft Local Plan identifies the potential for the development of a brownfield site within the Forum Area at Cleadon Lane Industrial Estate. I believe that this area of brownfield land should be used in preference to Green Belt land and that its use should be prioritised (along with other brownfield sites throughout the Borough) in preference to existing Green Belt sites. This should be an explicit requirement of the Local Plan.</p> |
| ST2389 | Emma Johnston | Resident | 03 Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives | para 3.3 | box iv | Support | <p>4.0 Pace of development and the priority for use of Brownfield sites – Full support for Policy S5; Chapter 3.3, box iv; Policy S1 c); Chapter 4 paragraph 4.30-4.36.</p> <p>4.1 The Local Plan remains silent on whether the pace of development in the Forum Area is to be controlled. As previously mentioned, there are serious concerns around the impact on infrastructure, but in addition, development on such a scale as that proposed cannot be carried out within a relatively small area without harmful disruption to those who live and work there. The pace of new development within the Forum Area should be subject to appropriate timescales, as well as provision of appropriate new infrastructure, so that development is sensitive to its impact on the village life and the community. This should be an explicit requirement of the Local Plan.</p> <p>4.2 The draft Local Plan identifies the potential for the development of a brownfield site within the Forum Area at Cleadon Lane Industrial Estate. I believe that this area of brownfield land should be used in preference to Green Belt land and that its use should be prioritised (along with other brownfield sites throughout the</p> |

| | | | | | | | |
|--------|---------------|--|--|--------|--|---------|--|
| | | | | | | | Borough) in preference to existing Green Belt sites. This should be an explicit requirement of the Local Plan. |
| ST2390 | Laura Kennedy | | 03 Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives | Vision | | Support | <p>Dear Sir / Madam,</p> <p>Thank you for the opportunity to provide a consultation response to the pre-publication draft of the South Tyneside Local Plan. As statutory water and sewerage undertaker, Northumbrian Water offer comments on various aspects of the planning process relating to sustainable water management and the provision of water infrastructure to serve current and future generations. We have reviewed the document in detail and offer below comments and suggestions of relevance to us in our statutory role. Northumbrian Water undertakes many roles in its duty to provide water and wastewater services to the region. As a statutory undertaker in the provision of these services we are a formal consultee on all emerging planning policy. Our Developer Services department provides a planning service which seeks to protect our assets and supports new development through ensuring our network and facilities have capacity to accommodate sustainable growth. We work closely with Local Authorities to monitor proposed development and track growth, and our consultation responses to emerging planning policies reflect this. We also seek to promote sustainable design in drainage and water conservation as part of tackling flooding. Separately, our Estates department is responsible for land and estate issues associated with our operational, non-operational and surplus land. They act in the interests of Northumbrian Water by producing and submitting representations to safeguard or release any operational or surplus land. They also seek opportunities to redevelop our redundant sites. Consequently, you may see two separate responses submitted from Northumbrian Water. These responses should be read individually with an understanding of the two different planning roles Northumbrian Water undertakes. Firstly, we are supportive of the vision included within the Local Plan, particularly with regard to the prominence of water quality, flooding and green infrastructure, and also of the objectives identified to support the delivery of this vision. We recognise that the Plan incorporates a requirement of 7,000 new homes and 29.76ha of available economic development land</p> |

| | | | | | | | |
|--------|------------------|--------------|--|------------|----|-----------|---|
| | | | | | | | across the Borough from 2016 to 2036. We welcome that the prioritisation of previously developed land is included within the Plan to deliver these units, as the incorporation of sustainable drainage techniques on previously developed land can often reduce flood risk and improve the management of surface water. |
| ST2430 | Gillian Attewell | Resident | 03 Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives | 3.30 | iv | Support | <p>4.1 The Local Plan remains silent on whether the pace of development in the Forum Area is to be controlled. As previously mentioned, there are serious concerns around the impact on infrastructure, but in addition, development on such a scale as that proposed cannot be carried out within a relatively small area without harmful disruption to those who live and work there. The pace of new development within the Forum Area should be subject to appropriate timescales, as well as provision of appropriate new infrastructure, so that development is sensitive to its impact on the village life and the community. This should be an explicit requirement of the Local Plan.</p> <p>4.2 The draft Local Plan identifies the potential for the development of a brownfield site within the Forum Area at Cleadon Lane Industrial Estate. I believe that this area of brownfield land should be used in preference to Green Belt land and that its use should be prioritised (along with other brownfield sites throughout the Borough) in preference to existing Green Belt sites. This should be an explicit requirement of the Local Plan.</p> |
| ST2506 | Mark Gabrele | Chris Martin | 03 Spatial vision and Strategic Objectives | 3.1 to 3.2 | | Objection | <p>3.2.1 Vision and Objectives</p> <p>3.2.2 Our Client generally supports the Vision and Objectives as expressed in paragraphs 3.1 – 3.3 of the Local Plan, as this highlights the need to identify that new homes should be provided for those living in South Tyneside as well as those who wish to locate to the Borough.</p> <p>3.2.3 However, there are a number of instances where we believe the current approach is unsound for being ineffective and contrary to national policy. For instance, the objectives are split into themes and this misses out on the fact that these are interlinked. As an example, the economic ambitions of South Tyneside should pick up on the fact that the Borough will need to provide the required housing to accommodate and support the Council's vision for new jobs growth over the plan period.</p> <p>3.2.4 Likewise, the Objectives mention the need to protect the</p> |

| | | | | | | | |
|--------|---------------------------|-------------|--|------------|------|-----------|---|
| | | | | | | | openness of the Green Belt, yet there is no recognition that some Green Belt land will need to be released in order to meet housing need over the plan period (including in Hebburn). Tying in with this, whilst the use of brownfield land to meet the Council's requirement is laudable in nature, this needs to be consistent with the NPPF so that the Council should be encouraging the use of brownfield land (see paragraph 117) rather than seeking to apply a form of sequential 'brownfield first' assessment. It also needs to be acknowledged that both previously developed land and greenfield land (including the release of Green Belt land) will be needed to meet the Borough's needs over the plan period. This is particularly the case in Hebburn where to provide sufficient housing in the town and to continue to drive regeneration, a mixture of sites is required. |
| ST2514 | Dave McGuire | | 03 Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives | 3.3 | xiii | Support | We would wish to offer our support to; Objective xiii of the Spatial Strategy – Promoting Positive Healthy Choices. However, In order to ensure that the Plan promotes positive healthy choices at strategic as well as local level we would recommend that Public Health staff strongly input to the Plan's preparation. Health Impact Assessments such be undertaken at each stage of its preparation to ensure that choices made reflect this objective. |
| ST2516 | Dave Hutchinson | | 03 Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives | 3.3 | | Objection | A number of the proposed policies in the Draft Local Plan will not deliver the vision and objectives particularly in respect to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Providing the infrastructure to serve residents needs • Improving air quality • Optimising the re-use of brownfield land • Maintaining the openness and permanence of the Green Belt |
| ST2537 | Laverck Hall Park Limited | Neil Morton | 03 Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives | 3.1 to 3.3 | | Support | 2.1. Chapter 3 of the DLP sets out the draft Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives for the plan. 2.2. Overall our client is broadly supportive of the Spatial Vision [paragraph 3.1], in particular its recognition that "...residents of all ages and abilities will have a choice of new homes, including affordable homes, as well as the infrastructure required to support their daily needs." In our submission the Spatial Vision would be improved by recognising the strategic role which Laverick Park would play in delivering that vision. 2.3. Our client is also broadly supportive of the Strategic Objectives [paragraph 3.3] of the DLP, in particular Objective v. which seeks to |

| | | | | | | | |
|--------|---------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|-----|---------|--|
| | | | | | | | <p>“increase the supply and choice of the type and tenure of new homes that are of a high quality and are energy efficient. New homes will meet the needs of existing residents and those wishing to move to the area, including those with a need for affordable housing that are located in a variety of suitable locations and where it’s appropriate to do so, build at higher densities for example where they are close to areas better served by public transport”.</p> <p>2.4. Whilst our client is broadly supportive of the Spatial Vision and Spatial Objectives, for the reasons presented in this Report, we do not consider that the draft strategy and site allocations proposed by the DLP is appropriate to deliver them. This presents a serious risk that the Vision and Objectives will go unfulfilled. Furthermore, we consider that the draft strategy by excluding the strategic potential of Laverick Park, represents a considerable missed opportunity to deliver the Spatial Vision and Spatial Objectives for the benefit of South Tyneside.</p> |
| ST2545 | Des Dunlop | London & Cambridge Properties Limited (LCP) | 03 Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives | Building a stronger local economy | | Support | <p>Support is given in principle to making South Shields Town Centre a more attractive and vibrant place in which to shop and live. The emerging Strategic Vision however does not address the high levels of vacant retail floorspace in the Town Centre. LCP have a considerable amount of long term vacant floorspace which they are unable to find occupiers despite ongoing marketing. The strategic vision and other policies need to be amended to seek to encourage the reuse of this vacant floorspace.</p> |
| ST1935 | Rachael Milne | | 03 Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives | 03.3 | vii | Comment | <p>3.3 Tackling Climate Change</p> <p>vii) ‘To ensure development of safe, sustainable... enhancement to...Bridleways. Page 3- 1.2) ‘...timely delivery of the infrastructure necessary to support growth.’ No infrastructure is included in the proposed local plan. No provisions for Schools, doctors, new road networks, shops, football pitches etc. The urban sprawl of many of the Greenbelt sites will again likely lead to more Tree loss across the borough. As seen already due to the IAMP that has seen 2,740 linear metres of hedgerow, 10 individual Trees. 1 Copse of Trees 4,335 m2</p> |

| | | | | | | |
|--------|--------------|--------------|--|--------------------|-----------|---|
| | | | | | | <p>1 group of Trees 1,845 m2</p> <p>1 group of Trees 322 m2, with an average stem diameter of 3metres.</p> <p>This is only for the IAMP 1. The IAMP 2 will see:</p> <p>2,110 linear metres of hedgerow and 22 individual Trees.</p> <p>1 group of Trees 883m2</p> <p>1 group of Trees 200m2</p> <p>1 group of Trees 1,544m2 these trees have a average diameter of 350m2</p> <p>1 group of Trees 939m2 with a stem diameter of 8 metres</p> <p>1 group of Trees1,587m2 stem diameter averaging 5 metres</p> <p>1 group of Trees 456 m2 with an average stem diameter of 3 metres</p> <p>1 group of Trees 1,991m2 with a stem diameter of 5m</p> <p>1 group of Trees 1,770m2, stem diameter 2.5 metres</p> <p>1 group of Trees 22,824m2 with average stem diameter of 2.5 metres</p> <p>1 group of Trees 442m2 stem diameter averaging 4 metres</p> <p>1 group of Trees 186 m2 average stem diameter 4.5 metres</p> <p>1 group of Trees 2,673 m2 average stem diameter 4 metres</p> <p>1 group of Trees 456 m2 with an average stem diameter of 3 metres</p> <p>1 group of Trees 1,651 m2 with an average stem diameter of 4 metres</p> <p>1 group of Trees 256 m2</p> <p>1 group of Trees 50,500m2 with an average stem diameter of 3 metres</p> <p>2 groups of Trees 8,316 m2 with an average stem diameter of 2.5 metres</p> <p>1 group of Trees 810m2.</p> <p>This is a combined total of over 96,000 square metres of Trees lost for the IAMP 1 AND 2 and 4,850 linear metres of established hedgerows on Green Belt Land</p> |
| ST2505 | Martyn Earle | Chris Martin | 03 Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives | 3.3.1.1 to 3.3.1.3 | Objection | <p>3.3 Spatial Vision and Strategic Objectives (Chapter 3)</p> <p>3.3.1 Vision and Objectives</p> <p>3.3.1.1 Our Client generally supports the Vision and Objectives as expressed in paragraphs 3.1 – 3.3 of the Local Plan, as this highlights the need to identify that new homes should be provided for those living in South Tyneside as well as those who wish to locate to the Borough.</p> <p>3.3.1.2 However, there are a number of instances where we believe</p> |

| | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | <p>the current approach is unsound for being ineffective and contrary to national policy. For instance, the objectives are split into themes and this misses out on the fact that these are interlinked. As an example, the economic ambitions of South Tyneside should pick up on the fact that the Borough will need to provide the required housing to accommodate and support the Council's vision for new jobs growth over the plan period.</p> <p>3.3.1.3 Likewise, the objectives mention the need to protect the openness of the Green Belt and the Vision mentions this specifically in the context of Cleadon, the Boldons and Whitburn, yet there is no recognition that some Green Belt land will need to be released in order to meet housing need over the plan period. Tying in with this, whilst the use of brownfield land to meet the Council's requirement is laudable in nature, this needs to be consistent with the NPPF so that the Council should be encouraging the use of brownfield land (see paragraph 117) rather than seeking to apply a form of sequential 'brownfield first' assessment. It also needs to be acknowledged that both previously developed land and greenfield land (including the release of Green Belt land) will be needed to meet the Borough's needs over the plan period.</p> <p>3.3.1.4 We would seek this part of the Local Plan is amended to reflect these comments.</p> |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|